Quantization of gravity through hypersurface foliation

I. Y. Park

Department of Physics, Hanyang University
Seoul 133-791, Korea

Department of Applied Mathematics, Philander Smith College
Little Rock, AR 72223, USA
inyongpark05@gmail.com

arXiv:1406.0753v1 [gr-qc] 31 May 2014

Abstract

We have recently proposed in [1] quantization of pure 4D Einstein gravity through hypersurface foliation, and observed that the 4D Einstein gravity becomes renormalizable once all (or most) of the unphysical degrees of freedom are removed. In this work, we confirm this observation from a more mathematical angle. In particular, we show that the physical state condition arising from the shift vector constraint connects with the requirement that the manifold admit "totally geodesic (TG) foliation" in foliation theory. The TG foliation, in turn, makes it possible to view the 4D manifold as abelian fibration over a 3D base. Associating the abelian fibration with the 4D diffeomorphism leads to reduction of the 4D manifold to 3D, thereby, realizing and generalizing the holography of 't Hooft.

1 Introduction

We have recently proposed in [1] quantization of pure 4D Einstein gravity through hypersurface foliation, and observed that the 4D Einstein gravity becomes renormalizable once all (or most) of the unphysical degrees of freedom are removed. The main motivation for the present work is finding the origin of this observation by employing (more) concrete and quantitative mathematical foundations of foliation theory. In doing so, additional insights into the connection with 't Hooft's holography are gained.

The interplay between a bulk spacetime and its boundary has been the central theme for recent progress in theoretical physics. We employ the mathematics of foliation theory at a quantitative level in a continued effort to extend the theme to the interplay between a bulk and its hypersurfaces. Intuitively speaking, foliation is a way of viewing a manifold as constructed by putting together lower dimensional "similar"-shaped manifolds. The notion of foliation led to some interesting results [3] [4] in the context of AdS/CFT. More recently, it has been employed in [5–7] to uncover various aspects of black hole information. It is highly likely that the notion will lead to significant and as yet unprecedented results in physics.

A more mathematically precise look at the possibility explored in this work will be found in the main body; a rough overview of this possibility is as follows. Suppose a 4D manifold is constructed by an abelian group fibration over a 3D base manifold. If the group action can be associated with the gauge symmetry, the physical Hilbert space would be reduced to that of the base manifold. We show below that the gravity holography as observed in [1] is exactly of this type.

We focus on a class of spacetimes called the globally hyperbolic spacetimes. (They cover most of the cosmologically interesting spacetimes.) A globally hyperbolic spacetime admits a codimension-1 foliation through a family of hypersurfaces. In general, it is not guaranteed that a globally hyperbolic spacetime will admit an alternative construction as a principal bundle with an abelian structure group, a setup used for possible holographic reduction in the paragraph above. The key issue then is to establish the possible connection between these two dual views: the manifold viewed as codimension-1 foliation and as a principle bundle of 1D fibration over the 3D base. We observe that the condition obtained in [1] that arose from the shift vector constraint is what connects these two views.



Figure 1: duality in foliations

As a matter of fact, the two views are related by a "duality". The duality involved is a mathematical one and operates between two different foliations: the Riemannian and totally geodesic. The shift vector constraint makes a direct connection with the Riemannian foliation. Happily, the totally geodesic foliation is the precise form of the 1D abelian fibration mentioned above.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we review necessary elements of differential geometry and lay out mathematical foundations to confirm the observation made in [1]. The duality between totally geodesic foliation and Riemannian foliation will be one of the central ingredients for establishing the gravity holography. In section 3, we start by reviewing the analysis of the shift vector constraint in [1].[1] We point out that the condition from the shift vector constraint, (28), makes the foliation 'Riemannian' in the jargon of foliation theory. The Riemannian foliation under consideration admits a dual foliation called the totally geodesic foliation.[2] A manifold of totally geodesic foliation has associated Lie algebra and the dimension of the Lie algebra is one in the present case. As the second

[1]There is another constraint arising from fixing the lapse function, the analogue of the Hamiltonian constraint of the Hamiltonian formulation. We focus on the shift vector constraint in this work.

[2]It appears that this is not true in general: an additional condition on the integrability of the orthogonal foliation should be satisfied.

key step, we identify this abelian group as the origin of the gauge symmetry associated with 4D metric. The gauge-fixing then corresponds to taking the quotient of the bundle by the group, thereby, realizing the holography. We conclude with future directions in the final section.

2 Review of differential geometry

In this section, we review and introduce elements of differential geometry with the goal of placing the observation in [1] on concrete mathematical ground. The goal requires advanced-level differential geometry. We review intermediate-level differential geometry (in particular, the coordinate-free formulation) for notational uniformity, and refer to mathematical literature for several key mathematical theorems. There are several graduate-level textbooks and reviews on general relativity, such as [8–11], that employ intermediate-level differential geometry. We also need advanced-level differential geometry discussed, e.g., in [12–16]. Properly covering the needed elements of differential geometry would make this section out of proportion; we present minimal materials, intending it as a guide to more detailed, in-depth accounts in the literature.

The so-called "coordinate-free" formulation has been widely used in modern differential geometry. (Indeed we will use some of the results obtained in that formulation in the main section). Less familiar though it may be to physicists, the coordinate-free notation has proven useful and powerful: it has provided simpler proofs of existing theorems, and new mathematical insights were gained. It has also led to many new results that would have been harder to obtain with the conventional index notation.

The starting point of the coordinate-free notation is a coordinate-free definition of a vector (and a tensor). There exists an isomorphism between the conventional and new definitions of a vector. The definition of a vector most familiar to physicists, i.e., the conventional definition, is an n-component entry of numbers that transforms according to fixed rules under the coordinate change dictated by the symmetry group of the system. In the coordinate-free formulation, a vector is defined as the tangent of a curve on the manifold. This definition, in turn, introduces an equivalence class by criterion of having the same tangent. A vector can also be viewed as a differential operator that

acts on the function space of the manifold: a vector is like a set of partial derivatives.

Still another way to view a vector is based on the directional derivative. Consider a manifold M and a curve $x(t)$ with a fixed range of the parameter t. A vector X at $x(t) \in M$ (a particular value of t being considered) can be defined as a map from the function space to a real number given by

$$\mathbf{X}f = \frac{df(x(t))}{dt} \tag{1}$$

The collection of vectors $\mathbf{X}$ forms the tangent space, $T(M)$, at $x = x(t)$. Two more types of derivatives - which commute with contraction[3] - are essential on a curved manifold. The first is the Lie derivative, and is associated with infinitesimal coordinate transformation (the general coordinate transformation in physics). In mathematics, it is defined through a finite group action, φ_t, called a one-parameter group of transformations that satisfy

$$\varphi_{s+t}(x) = \varphi_t(\varphi_s(x)) \tag{2}$$

where x represents a point in M, $x \in M$. The Lie derivative of a tensor $\mathbf{K}$ along a vector $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{K} = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\mathbf{K}'_{\varphi(x)} - \mathbf{K}_x}{t} \tag{3}$$

where the $'$ represents how the field $\mathbf{K}$ transforms under the group. The second derivative is the covariant derivative, and it requires more structure. We turn to a principle fiber bundle before we define the covariant derivative.

A (differentiable) principle fiber bundle of dimension n, denoted by $M(B, G, \pi)$, is a manifold with the action of the group G defined by

$$R_a x : (x, a) \to xa \tag{4}$$

where $(x, a) \in M \times G$. The letter R stands for right multiplication; alternatively, one can use left multiplication. The bundle is also equipped with the projection map π such that $\pi(x) = y$ with y being a point on the base manifold B. The base manifold B is the quotient space $B = M/G$. The group action on a point of the base manifold B "generates" the fiber and every fiber is diffeomorphic to G. The tangent space $T(M)$ can be decomposed

[3]Contraction is the coordinate-free version of the index contraction.

into a horizontal component and a vertical component, a notion central to the covariant derivative:

$$\mathbf{X} \;=\; \mathbf{X}^h + \mathbf{X}^v, \quad \mathbf{X}^h \in \mathcal{H}\ ,\ \mathbf{X}^v \in \mathcal{V}; \tag{5}$$

$$T(M) \;=\; \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}$) represents the horizontal subspace $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. vertical subspace) The vertical subspace $\mathcal{V}$ consists of vectors tangent to the *fiber* through x and $\mathcal{H}$ is its orthogonal complement in $T(M)$.

To define the covariant derivative, let us first define a horizontal lift of a curve and parallel displacement of fibers.[4] Let $\tau \equiv x_t,\ 0 \leq t \leq 1$ be a curve on the base manifold B and x_0 be a point on the bundle M such that $\pi(x_0) = y_0$. A horizontal lift (or simply a lift) of τ is a curve whose tangent vectors are horizontal. There exists a unique horizontal lift, denoted by τ^*, of τ through x_0; its endpoint x_1 maps to y_1 via the projection: $\pi(x_1) = y_1$. Varying x_0 along the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y_0)$, one gets a mapping - which can be shown to be an isomorphism - between the two fibers $\pi^{-1}(y_0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(y_1)$. This mapping is called the parallel displacement of the fibers, and will be denoted by the same letter τ by following the convention in the mathematical literature.

With this we can define the covariant derivative of a section, φ of the bundle.[5] Given a curve $\tau \equiv x_t$ and its tangent vector $\dot{x}_t$ (the dot denotes the time derivative), the covariant derivative $\nabla_{\dot{x}_t}\varphi$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{\dot{x}_t}\varphi = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{\tau_t^{t+\delta t}(\varphi(x_{t+\delta t})) - \varphi(x_t)}{\delta t} \tag{7}$$

where $\tau_t^{t+\delta t}$ denotes the parallel displacement from the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x_{t+\delta t})$ to $\pi^{-1}(x_t)$. By using the definitions of the Lie derivative (3) and the covariant derivative (7), one can show the following relation:

$$[\mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{X}}, \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}}] = \nabla_{[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]} \tag{8}$$

A proof of this relation can be found in chapter VI of [12]. This relation was used in a significant way in [1] as we will review below.

[4]The definition of covariant derivative through parallel displacement of fibers eventually leads to the more familiar component definition through Christoffel symbols. However, we will later have a crucial use for the coordinate-free definition given here.

[5]Strictly speaking, the section is a section of an associated bundle. (The definition of the associated bundle of a principle bundle can be found, e.g., in [12] or [14].)

The mathematical setup that we need in section 3.2, the main section, is categorized as "Riemannian" or "metric" foliation in mathematical literature. Foliation can be viewed as a generalization of fibration. Only fibers of the same topology are allowed in fibration, whereas topologically different leaves (the analogue of fibers) are allowed in foliation. Formally, a foliation atlas of codimension q of M is a collection of the coordinate patches

$$\varphi_i : U_i \to R^n = (R^{n-q} \times R^q) \tag{9}$$

The local coordinate transformation φ_{ij} between φ_i's takes the form of

$$\varphi_{ij}(x, y) = (\varphi_{(1)ij}(x, y), \varphi_{(2)ij}(x, y)) \tag{10}$$

where $\varphi_{(1)ij}(x, y)$ (resp. $\varphi_{(2)ij}(x, y)$) is associated with R^{n-q} (resp. R^q). The $(n-q)$-dimensional submanifold (injectively immersed) in M is called a leaf. When the codimension is $q = 1$, the case of our focus, the leaves are called hypersurfaces.

A globally hyperbolic spacetime that we focus on in this work admits foliation through a family of hypersurfaces Σ_t; the base manifold is parameterized by a "time" coordinate t. Let us choose a coordinate system such that a vector $\mathbf{X}$ takes

$$\mathbf{X} \equiv \partial_\alpha = (\partial_t, \partial_a), \quad a = 1, 2, 3 \tag{11}$$

and resolve ∂_t according to

$$\partial_t = n\hat{\mathbf{n}} + N^a \partial_a \tag{12}$$

where ∂_a is a vector tangent to Σ_t; n is the lapse function and N^a is the shift vector. In the present coordinate system, the components of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathbf{g}(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta)$ are given in the conventional notation by

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta = (-n^2 + h^{ab} N_a N_b) dt^2 + N_a dt dy^a + h_{ab} dy^a dy^b \tag{13}$$

The foliation has 3D leaves whose space is described by h_{ab}. The space of the leaves (i.e., the base manifold) is parameterized by t (whose component is denoted by t^α).

As stated in the introduction, we will see that the condition obtained in [1] by examining the shift vector constraint can be related to the condition for the foliation to be Riemannian. Then in the "dual" view explained in

section 3.2, the manifold admits the so-called totally geodesic foliation whose definition is given in terms of the second fundamental form. The second fundamental form $\mathbf{K}$, which is also called the extrinsic curvature, of a given hypersurface Σ_t is defined by

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{A}, \nabla_{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \tag{14}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit vector normal to the hypersurface and $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ represent the vectors tangent to the hypersurface. When $\mathbf{K}$ vanishes, the foliation is called totally geodesic.

3 Quantization via hypersurface

With the review on differential geometry in the previous section, we are ready to approach the gravity holography as observed in [1] from a more mathematical perspective. Let us briefly review the findings in [1] before we get to the main analysis in subsection 3.2.

3.1 Review of gravity holography

Consider the 4D Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\, R \tag{15}$$

We split the coordinates into

$$x^\mu \equiv (t, y^a) \tag{16}$$

where $\mu = 0, .., 3$ and $a = 1, 2, 3$. By parameterizing the 4D metric [17] [9] in the the 1+3 split form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -n^2 + h^{ab} N_a N_b & N_a \\ N_b & h_{ab} \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

where n and N_a denote the lapse function and shift vector respectively, one gets

$$S = \int d^4x\, n\sqrt{-h} \left(R^{(3)} + K^2 - K_{ab} K^{ab} \right) \tag{18}$$

with the second fundamental form given by

$$K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2n}\left(\mathscr{L}_t h_{ab} - \nabla_a N_b - \nabla_b N_a\right), \qquad K = h^{ab}K_{ab}. \tag{19}$$

Here $\mathscr{L}_t$ denotes the Lie derivative along the time coordinate t and ∇_a is the 3D covariant derivative with the connection form constructed out of the hypersurface metric h_{ab}). Since the time derivative does not act on N_a or n in their field equations

$$\nabla_a(K^{ab} - h^{ab}K) = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$R^{(3)} - K^2 + K_{ab}K^{ab} = 0 \tag{21}$$

these fields are non-dynamical: once n and N_a are specified on the hypersurface of a given time, their bulk value can be taken as the corresponding value on the hypersurface of the fixed time. The 4D diffeomorphism can be fixed by imposing the de Donder gauge. The action (18) still has 3D gauge symmetry of measure-zero compared with the 4D gauge symmetry. By using this 3D diffeomorphism, the shift vector can be gauged away

$$N_a = 0, \tag{22}$$

in the entire bulk due to the non-dynamism of N_a. Substituting $N_a = 0$ into (20) it follows that

$$\nabla^a\left[\frac{1}{n}\Big(\mathscr{L}_t h_{ab} - h_{ab}h^{cd}\mathscr{L}_t h_{cd}\Big)\right] = 0 \tag{23}$$

which implies

$$\partial_a n = 0 \tag{24}$$

This can be seen as follows. What we need to show is that the covariant derivative in (23) yields zero when it acts on terms other than $\frac{1}{n}$. Let us illustrate this with the first term in the parenthesis:

$$\nabla_a \mathscr{L}_t h_{bc} = e_a^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \mathscr{L}_t h_{bc} \tag{25}$$

By using (8), the right-hand side can be written as

$$= e_a^\alpha \mathscr{L}_t \nabla_\alpha h_{bc} \tag{26}$$

This is because $\nabla_{[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]} = \nabla_{[\partial_t,\partial_\alpha]} = \nabla_0 = 0$ due to the linearity of ∇. On account of $\mathscr{L}_t e^\alpha_a = 0$, the right-hand side becomes

$$= \mathscr{L}_t e^\alpha_a \nabla_\alpha h_{bc} = \mathscr{L}_t \nabla_a h_{bc} = 0 \tag{27}$$

where the last equality follows from the 3D metric compatibility of the 3D covariant derivative. In the next subsection, we will note that the condition (24) is nothing but the requirement for the codimension-1 foliation to be Riemannian.

3.2 TG foliation and gauge symmetry

In this subsection, we make several crucial observations that lead to holographic reduction of the bulk to the hypersurface. Firstly, we relate (24) to the condition for the foliation to be Riemannian. Afterwards, a dual view of the totally geodesic foliation of codimension-3 is taken. It was proven in [19] [20] that a totally geodesic foliation carries Lie algebra.[6] As our last crucial step, we identify the Lie algebra with diffeomorphisms pertaining to the 4D metric. We will elaborate on this identification below, but let us first relate (24) to Riemannian foliation.

The condition (24) obtained by the shift vector constraint can be written as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\partial_a} n = 0 \tag{28}$$

This is precisely the condition for the foliation to be Riemannian. To see this, let us denote the horizontal component of the metric tensor $\mathbf{g}$ by $\mathbf{g}^h$:

$$\mathbf{g}^h(X, Y) \equiv \mathbf{g}(X^h, Y^h) \tag{29}$$

The Riemannian foliation satisfies

$$\mathscr{L}_X \, \mathbf{g}^h = 0, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{V} \tag{30}$$

by definition (see, e.g., [16]). The lapse function n corresponds to the (t, t) component of $\mathbf{g}^h$.[7] In the "dual" view, the Riemannian foliation implies

[6] More precisely speaking, the pullback of the geodesic to the frame bundle develops a so-called "tangential parallelism" which then leads to a Lie algebra that acts transitively on the leaves of the pullback bundle.

[7] The manifold is viewed as having 3D leaves and 1D space of leaves. Recall that the ∂_a-directions must be tangent to the leaves.

totally geodesic foliation (see, e.g., [18]). In other words, the manifold can be viewed as 1D fibration over the 3D base, and the 1D fibration will be totally geodesic. Then there should be an abelian Lie group associated with the fibration [20]. This should be the abelian group that acts along the 1D fiber in the dual picture. This in turn should imply that the manifold can be constructed as U(1) fibration over the 3D base.

To rephrase, let us now take the dual view wherein the original Riemannian foliation of codimension-1 is viewed as the totally geodesic foliation of codimension-3. It was proven in [20] that a totally geodesic foliation carries Lie algebra[8]; in the present case, the Lie algebra is abelian. As our last important step, we identify the Lie algebra with diffeomorphisms pertaining to the 4D metric. The lapse function and shift vector concern displacements away from the hypersurface whereas the induced hypersurface metric concerns displacements within the hypersurface (see, e.g., [9]). This naturally seems to suggest that the gauge symmetry be associated with the action of group fibration that generates the 4th direction. The gauge-fixing then corresponds to taking the quotient of the bundle by the group, bringing us to the holographic reduction.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we have related the shift vector constraint to the requirement for the foliation to be Riemannian. Then in the dual picture, the foliation by 1D leaves is totally geodesic. A totally geodesic foliation has an associated Lie algebra as proven in [20]. In our case, it is abelian and we have identified it as the origin of the diffeomorphisms of the 4D metric.

In a complementary view, one may say the following. Fibration generates one more dimension, i.e, 3D becomes 4D. On top of the 3D metric, one now has four more metric components that correspond to shift and lapse. Since the physics is governed by the action - which has gauge invariance - but not directly by the metric, not all of those new metric components would be physical. As a matter of fact, none of them is physical. Therefore, the generation of the 4th direction should be associated with the diffeomorphisms.

[8]More precisely speaking, the pullback of the geodesic to the frame bundle develops a so-called "tangential parallelism" which then leads to a Lie algebra that acts transitively on the leaves of the pullback bundle.

It is presumably the causality property of a globally hyperbolic spacetime that is responsible, on a deeper level, for the reduction of the bulk degrees of freedom. It would be interesting to make this precise. The mathematics used in this work is rather abstract. It would be worthwhile to expand the contents of this work in a more self-contained and physicist-accessible form. Some of the mathematical results and their physical meanings should also be explored more thoroughly. We also in the near future plan on explicitly carrying out the procedure of quantizing through the hypersurface. Two things are expected along this line of research: firstly, the renormalization procedure will be technically demanding. Secondly, establishing the precise way to extract 4D physics through the hypersurface physics will require care. We will report on these tasks elsewhere.

References

[1] I. Y. Park, "Hypersurface foliation approach to renormalization of gravity," arXiv:1404.5066 [hep-th].

[2] G. 't Hooft, "Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity," gr-qc/9310026.

[3] M. Sato and A. Tsuchiya, "Born-Infeld action from supergravity," Prog. Theor. Phys. **109**, 687 (2003) [hep-th/0211074].

[4] E. Hatefi, A. J. Nurmagambetov and I. Y. Park, "ADM reduction of IIB on $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ to dS braneworld," JHEP **1304**, 170 (2013) [arXiv:1210.3825 [hep-th]].

[5] I. Y. Park, "ADM reduction of Einstein action and black hole entropy," arXiv:1304.0014 [hep-th].

[6] I. Y. Park, "Reduction of BTZ spacetime to hypersurfaces of foliation," JHEP **1401**, 102 (2014) [arXiv:1311.4619 [hep-th]].

[7] I. Y. Park, "Indication for unsmooth horizon induced by quantum gravity interaction," arXiv:1401.1492 [hep-th].

[8] R. M. Wald, "General relativity", The university of Chicago press (1984)

[9] E. Poisson, "A relativists' toolkit", Cambridge (2004)

[10] Ø. Grøn and S. Hervik, "Einstein's general theory of relativity", Springer (2007)

[11] E. Gourgoulhon, "3+1 formalism and bases of numerical relativity," gr-qc/0703035 [GR-QC].

[12] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of differential geometry", vol I, Interscience Publisher (1963)

[13] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of differential geometry", vol II, Interscience Publisher (1963)

[14] M. Nakahara, "Geometry, topology and physics", Taylor & Francis (2003)

[15] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun, "Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids", Cambridge university press (2003)

[16] D. Gromoll and G. Walschap, "Metric foliations and curvature", Birkhäuser verlag AG (2009)

[17] R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, "The Dynamics of general relativity," Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1997 (2008) [gr-qc/0405109].

[18] T. di Dottorato, "Legendrian foliations on almost S-manifolds", Ph. D. thesis

[19] P. Molino, "Riemannian Foliations," Birkhäuser Boston (1988)

[20] G. Cairns, "A general description of totally geodesic foliations", Tôhoku Math. Journ. 38 (1986), 37-55.